2838

TRANSMITTAL LETTER Docket No. (General - Patent Pending) P 7675.10005 MAR 20 2003 In Re Application Of: Ionel Jitaru TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Serial No. Filing Date Examiner Group Art Unit 09/260,478 March 1, 1999 Gary L. Laxton 2838 SOFT TRANSITION CONVERTER Title: TO THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS: Transmitted herewith is: RESPONSE; PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (1 month) (in duplicate); CHECK FOR \$110; TRANSMITTAL LETTER (in duplicate); and POSTCARD. in the above identified application. No additional fee is required.

A check in the amount of \$110.00

Charge the amount of

Credit any overpayment.

Charge any additional fee required.

is attached.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No.

as described below. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Dated: March 6, 2003

Garth Janke, Reg. No. 40,662

BIRDWELL, JANKE & DURANDO, PLC

1100 SW Sixth Avenue, Suite 1400

Portland, Oregon 97204 Tel: 503-228-1841

 \boxtimes

M

certify that this document and fee is being deposited 3.6.03 with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

02-2451

L.H. Rouske

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Response J. Dle J. 21-03

PATENT EXAMINING OPERATIONS

MAR 20 2903

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Applicant:

Ionel Jitaru

Group Art Unit:

2838

Serial No.:

09/260,478

Examiner:

Gary L. Laxton

Filed:

March 1, 1999

Docket No.:

P 7675.10005

Title:

SOFT TRANSITION CONVERTER

CERTIFICATE OF FIRST CLASS MAILING (37 CFR 1.8)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231,

on this 6th day of March, 2003

L.H. Rouske

Birdwell, Janke & Durando, PLC 1100 SW Sixth Avenue, Suite 1400 Portland, OR 97204

March 6, 2003

RESPONSE

Box: Fee Response Commissioner for Patents Washington DC 20231

Greetings:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed November 6, 2002.

Section 103 Rejections

Claims 1 and 4 - 6 stand rejected under 35 USC §103 as being unpatentable over

Okamoto, U.S. Patent No. 4,564,897 ("Okamoto") in combination with Morris, U.S. Patent No.

5,555,494 ("Morris"). The Office Action alleges that Okamoto discloses all that is claimed except the claimed dead time, and that Morris teaches use of a dead time to "provide an efficient

Page 1 - RESPONSE (09/260,478)

converter circuit." Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections, because the assertion that Morris teaches the use of a dead time in any power converter to increase efficiency is incorrect.

Morris explains that the dead time is *necessary* in certain types of converters, (Col. 10, lines 29 - 28). The reason for this can be seen by considering the primary circuit of Morris. Morris uses a DC source and primary switching transistors to convert the DC to AC. The dead time is used as a safety margin to prevent cross-conduction in the primary switching transistors, which would short circuit the source. Specifically, switches SW1 and SW4 are "on" while switches SW2 and SW3 are "off" and vice versa. If SW1 and SW4 are turned "on" at the very same time that SW2 and SW3 are turned "off", there is the possibility, for a limited time, of the existence of a short circuit through SW1 and SW3, and/or through SW2 and SW4. The dead time provides a safety margin that prevents this short circuit condition from occurring. It can be readily appreciated that the dead time actually *decreases* efficiency because, during the dead time, no power can be produced by the converter. Accordingly, Morris does not assert that it is *desirable* to employ a dead time to increase efficiency.

By contrast with Morris, Okamoto already has an AC source, and does not need or employ any primary switching transistors. Therefore, Okamoto does not exhibit the problem that Morris uses the dead time to solve, and there is no reason to produce a dead time in Okamoto based on any teaching of Morris.

For these reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the allegation that it would have been obvious to modify Okamoto based on the teachings of Morris is incorrect, the claims are

patentable over the references of record, and the examiner is respectfully requested to allow claims 1 - 7 and pass this case to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Garth Janke

Reg. No. 40,662 (503) 228-1841

X:\data\wp51\ASCOM\005\docs\Request CPA.wpd